

- 8 -

Remarks

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action of November 29, 2005. Claims 1-26 are pending, and claims 1-26 are subject to a restriction requirement. In the paper, claim 17 is amended, and the following remarks are submitted.

This Amendment and Communication addresses issues related to the genus/species restriction, but is not a response to the restriction.

The election requirement alleges "Species A directed to a dispensing location on an engine nacelle; Species B directed to a dispensing location on the wing of an aircraft; and Species C directed to a dispensing location on a fuselage of an aircraft just ahead of an auxiliary power unit." It is further alleged that "Currently, no claim is generic."

In fact, every claim is generic. Every claim reads on every one of the species. Independent claim 1 and its dependent claims have no limitation on the dispensing location and therefore read on all three alleged species. Independent claims 17 and 23 and their dependent claims all expressly recite all three alleged species in "or" format, and therefore read on all three species.

The restriction is improper, and Applicant asks that it be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



William Schubert
Reg. No. 30,102
Attorney for Applicant